REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

I. Objection to the Drawing

The Office objected to the drawing under 37 SFR 1.83(a) as failing to show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Specifically, the Office argued that the drawing fails to show limitations recited in claims 4 and 14. (Note: The Office actually wrote that it objected to claim 15, but it appears as though the Office was referring to claim 14)

Regarding the objection to claim 4, the office argued that the drawing fails to illustrate that "the clarifying symbols consist entirely of 24 letters of the English alphabet" as recited in claim 4. The Applicant respectfully disagrees. As shown below, Figure 6 illustrates clarifying symbols, where 24 letters of the English alphabet have been used. The only letters that have not been used as clarifying symbols are the letters "q" and "x".

| a b c d e f g h i j k l m n o p q r s t u v w x y z 36 Sounds of Guffanti Initial Teaching Alphabet | | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| (a) as in apple | (O)as in other | (2) as in duck | Ray as in eagle |
| Das in fish | reras in rat | Ch as in chip | ga as in goat |
| Tok as in kangardo | (a) s as in sun | (v)h as in van | do as in food |
| mas in tion | (ir) as in tiger | Das in ship | evas in toy |
| mm(m) as in mom | zrz as in zebra | with as in wolf | awas in cow |
| hhh as in herse | Jih as in jet | th as in thimble | ou as in foot |
| eh as in egg | gih as in gift | yih as in yak | ig as in tie |
| ih as in itch | dy as in dog | dy as in ape | th as in bathe |
| n(n)as in nest | bih as in bee | (p)h as in pig | zh as in treasure |

FIGURE 6

Regarding the objection to claim 14, the Office argued that the drawing failed to illustrate "a reading assist card having a window sized and dimensioned to focus attention on a subset of the words and corresponding ones of the adjacently positioned clarifying symbols." The Applicant disagrees, but to further prosecution has amended claim 14 to recite "a reading assist card having a window," which is fully disclosed in Figure 10 of the drawing.

II. Rejection of Claims 1-14 under 35 U.S.C. §101

The Office rejected claims 1-20 under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed towards nonstatutory subject matter. The office argued that claims 1-14 recite a system while failing to positively recite any feature with structural limitations. In response, the Applicant has amended claims 1-14 to recite a training apparatus, which is supported in the original specification on pages 9-10. No new matter has been added

The Office argued that claims 15-20 recite a method that fails the *In re Bilsky* machine or transformation test. The Applicant disagrees, but to further prosecution has amended claims 15 and 18 to recite "revising at least part of the word to include at least one of the phonetic symbols." This limitation is supported by the original specification on P7, paragraph 4, and in corresponding Figure 10.

III. Rejection of Claims 1-13 and 15-20 under 35 U.S.C. 102(f)

The Office rejected claims 1-13 and 15-20 under 35 U.S.C. 102(f) for being invented by another. The Applicant *disagrees* and submits affidavits under 37 C.F.R. 1.131 that clarifies that Stephen Guffanti invented the subject matter using an initial teaching alphabet (ITA) with clarifying phonetic symbols consisting entirely of letters of the standard alphabet. (ITA is defined in the specification on page 1, in the fourth paragraph) Maureen Guffanti merely helped Stephen Guffanti write the content of the book "Rocket Phonics, but did not actually invent the teaching method or the teaching materials.

IV. Rejection of claims 1-13 and 15-20 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a)

The Office rejected claims 1-13 and 15-20 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being unpatentable over "Rocket Phonics." The Applicant *disagrees* in view of the concurrently filed affidavit under 37 C.F.R. 1.131, which clarifies that Stephen Guffanti was in possession of, and had reduced to practice, the claimed subject matter as early as February 2000, which was before the publication of Rocket Phonics on September 2, 2002.

V. Request for Allowance

Claims 1-20 are pending in this application. The Applicant requests allowance of all pending claims.

Respectfully submitted, Fish & Associates, PC

Date: April 9, 2009

By: <u>/Robert D. Fish/</u>
Robert D. Fish

Robert D. Fish Reg. No. 33880

Fish & Associates, PC 2603 Main Street, Suite 1050 Irvine, CA 92614-6232 Telephone (949) 253-0944 Fax (949) 253-9069